

State of Washington)
)
Ss:)
)
County of King)

DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY EYMAN

Timothy D Eyman, duly sworn upon oath, deposes and states under penalties of perjury of the State of Washington, as follows:

I am the Debtor in these proceedings and make this Declaration as such.

I owe my attorney Richard Sanders and Goodstein Law Firm hundreds of thousands of dollars and their legal fees continue to accrue. The Attorney General is deliberately driving up my legal costs. As attorney Richard Sanders noted in his filing with the state supreme court on November 4, 2021: "The State with its unlimited resources attempts to impose a war of attrition on Mr. Eyman which he cannot win and the State cannot lose. The attempted filing of an unauthorized overlength brief is but the latest example. The State has blatantly violated the rules and Mr. Eyman has expended costly attorney time to enforce them." (APPELLANT EYMAN'S RESPONSE TO PROPOSED OVERLENGTH BRIEF). And on November 5, 2021, in a different filing, Mr. Sanders stated it even more clearly: "The State makes the bizarre claim the appeal must be from the Findings of Fact rather than the Judgment. This is without precedent, contrary to the rules, and facially frivolous. Nevertheless, the State advances its

1 litigation strategy by forcing Mr. Eyman to expend hours of costly attorney time to defend
2 even a frivolous claim. The State has already driven Mr. Eyman to bankruptcy using
3 unlimited tax supported resources without regard to the merit of the State's claim. At the
4 least, this court should designate the State's motion frivolous, because that is what it
5 is." (APPELLANT EYMAN'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO MODIFY
6 COMMISSIONER'S RULING ON APPEALABILITY).

7 I owe Vortman & Feinstein Law Firm thousands of dollars for defending me in
8 bankruptcy court and their legal fees continue to accrue due to the continued and
9 escalating litigation of this case by the State. The effort by Attorney General Bob
10 Ferguson to go after Karen Eyman and my children and take away a home I don't even
11 live in anymore is dramatically inflating my legal costs even more.

12 My Bank of America account ending in 926 (I use it for my legal defense fund)
13 has a balance of \$2852.78 (November 16, 2021). The State knows this because in
14 October 2019, I granted them direct access to it.

15 My Bank of America account ending in #371 (I use it for my personal expenses)
16 has a balance of \$2870.29 (November 16, 2021). The State knows this because in
17 October 2019, I granted them direct access to it.

18 Due to the burdens caused by the State's litigation, my income in recent years
19 has been non-existent. My 2019 tax return shows my total income was negative \$1435
20 and my 2020 tax return shows my total income was negative \$10,344. The State knows
21 this.

1 Since filing for bankruptcy three years ago (November 2018), my solicitations
2 (i.e. fundraising letters, emails, etc) to friends and family asking for financial assistance
3 have been toward my legal defense fund and were used for payments to the State and
4 to my attorneys and the associated costs of this litigation.

5 All the money I earned in my lifetime is now totally gone – it was spent on the
6 State's litigation against me (because money raised for my legal defense fund was not
7 enough to cover all of it) and for personal expenses.

8 So for the past few months, the only money I receive is money provided by others
9 toward my legal defense fund. So if the AG's motion is granted and a Chapter 11 trustee
10 is named to force the sale of the home where Karen and my children live (and I don't)
11 and to spend the money in my legal defense fund as he/she wants, the people who
12 have helped me previously will stop doing so because they will not know whether the
13 Trustee will pay my attorneys or the State. And if the Trustee doesn't pay my attorneys,
14 I will then be left without legal counsel something the State would love to return to (for
15 nearly nine months in 2019, I was forced to defend myself without an attorney and lost
16 motion after motion). The people who are helping me have made it clear they want the
17 money they provide to go toward my attorneys and the costs and expenses associated
18 with defending me and not for their money to go to the government for a payment plan
19 on a ridiculously unconstitutional fine that is 99% likely to be negated on appeal because
20 it is so excessive.


21 The State is incorrect when they claim that my political activities are
22 unencumbered. In Mr. Sanders filing asking the state supreme court for a stay pending

1 appeal, he wrote: "The Court, again without legal precedent, enjoined by prior restraint
2 Mr. Eyman from actively participating in the initiative and referendum process in multiple
3 way without basis in the FCPA and in facial violation of the First Amendment. ...
4 Moreover, the trial court ordered expansive and draconian prior restraint injunctive relief
5 prohibiting Mr. Eyman from exercising fundamental First Amendment expressive and
6 associational rights. ... The injunctions imposed on Mr. Eyman are mandatory,
7 crushingly prohibitive, and arguably life-threatening. Without a stay, Mr. Eyman having
8 been designated a continuing political committee, would need to find someone willing
9 to serve as a treasurer to handle his personal finances and file monthly reports on same.
10 And because it is unlawful for political committees to spend their money for personal
11 use (RCW 42.17A.445), that treasurer would be legally prohibited from spending Mr.
12 Eyman's own money for food, toiletries, medicine, rent, child support, college tuition,
13 taxes, and other personal expenses (which begs the question: how can he live and
14 support his family without a stay?). And because the order legally prohibits Mr. Eyman
15 from participating in the decision making for any political committee, absent a stay Mr.
16 Eyman would be barred from managing, controlling, or directing his own money during
17 the time his case is on appeal. That will be months, possibly years. And because his
18 designation as a political committee is retroactive to 2012, without a stay Mr. Eyman's
19 treasurer (if he can find one willing to serve which arguably involves heightened
20 personal liability) would need to file reports showing his and his family's personal
21 transactions for the past eight years or risk additional daily fines."

22 Mr. Sanders is appealing these onerous, absurd restrictions on my political

1 activities but thus far, no stay has been granted. So that is the state of affairs for me as
2 of now due to the State's litigation onslaught against me and my family.

3 Dated this 17th day of November, 2021.

4 
5 Timothy Eyman, Debtor